

**IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**PATENT APPLICATION**

Robert Albert Boie  
Jungsang Kim  
Hyongsok Soh

**CASE** 19-9-7

**Serial No.** 09/911601      **Group Art Unit** 2874

**Filed** July 24, 2001

**Examiner** J. Lee

**Title** MEMS Driver Circuit Arrangement

**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS**

**P.O. BOX 1450**  
**ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450**


**SIR:**

Enclosed is an amendment After Final in the above-identified application.

**NO ADDITIONAL FEE REQUIRED**

In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit Deposit Account No. 12-2325 as required to correct the error.

Respectfully,



Eugene J. Rosenthal, Attorney  
Reg. No. 36658  
732-949-1857.

Date: 12/1/04

Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)  
Lucent Technologies Inc.  
101 Crawfords Corner Road  
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030

**Certification of Facsimile Transmission**

I hereby certify that this correspondence (and any paper referred to as being transmitted therewith) is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated below.

12/1/04

Date:

  
SHARON L. LOBOSCO

Serial No. 08/787,651

IN THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Patent Application

DEC 01 2004

Inventor(s): R. A. Boie

J. Kim

H. Soh

Case: 19-9-7

Serial No.: 09/911,601

Group Art Unit: 2874

Filed: July 24, 2001

Examiner: J. D. Lee

Title: MEMS Driver Circuit Arrangement

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION

In response to the Office Action dated June 4, 2004 please amend the above-identified application as follows:

R e m a r k s

Claims 1-36 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-33 stand allowed

Claims 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,638,784 issued to Bartlett et al. on October 28, 2003.

Claim 35 was indicated to contain allowable subject matter, but was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.